EXHIBIT A

Case 2:21-cv-10528-BAF-CI ECF No. 1-1, PageID.6 Filed 03/09/21 Page 2 of 8

Approved, SCAO

Original - Court 1st Copy- Defendant

2nd Copy - Plaintiff 3rd Copy -Return

STATE OF MICHIGAN

CHANACHIC

CASE NO.

THIRD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT WAYNE COUNTY	SUMMONS		21-002775-CZ Hon.John A. Murphy
Court address : 2 Woodward Ave., Detroit MI 48226			Court telephone no.: 313-224-0142
Plaintiff's name(s), address(es), and telephone no(s) City of Highland Park	v	Defendan Menge,	t's name(s), address(es), and telephone no(s). Brian
Plaintiff's attorney, bar no., address, and telephone no			
James W. McGinnis 29323 23456 Southfield Rd Southfield, MI 48075-8016			
Instructions: Check the items below that apply to yo your complaint and, if necessary, a case inventory ad			
Domestic Relations Case ☐ There are no pending or resolved cases within to members of the person(s) who are the subject of the person or more pending or resolved cases family members of the person(s) who are the surform MC 21) listing those cases. ☐ It is unknown if there are pending or resolved cases or family members of the person(s) who are the	of the complaint. Is within the jurisdiction of the subject of the complaint. I have asses within the jurisdiction	ne family d	livision of the circuit court involving the family or tely filed a completed confidential case inventory
Civil Case ☐ This is a business case in which all or part of the ☐ MDHHS and a contracted health plan may have complaint will be provided to MDHHS and (if app ☐ There is no other pending or resolved civil action ☐ A civil action between these parties or other parties	e a right to recover expens plicable) the contracted he n arising out of the same to ties arising out of the trans	es in this alth plan in ransaction or o	case. I certify that notice and a copy of the naccordance with MCL 400.106(4). or occurrence as alleged in the complaint. occurrence alleged in the complaint has
been previously filed in \square this court, \square			
where it was given case number	and assigned to J	udge	·
The action \square remains \square is no longer pendir	ng.		
Summons section completed by court clerk.	SUMMONS		
 NOTICE TO THE DEFENDANT: In the name of the street of the s	ons and a copy of the coming the coming and a copy of the coming the court (28 days the time allowed, judgment court because of a disabi	plaint to fi ys if you w may be ei lity or if yo	le a written answer with the court and serve a vere served by mail or you were served outside intered against you for the relief demanded in the u require a foreign language interpreter to help
Issue date 3/1/2021	Expiration date* 5/31/2021		Court clerk Angila Mayfield

Cathy M. Garrett- Wayne County Clerk.

*This summons is invalid unless served on or before its expiration date. This document must be sealed by the seal of the court.

MC 01 (9/19)

SUMMONS

MCR 1.109(D), MCR 2.102(B), MCR 2.103, MCR 2.104, MCR 2.105

SUMMONS Case No. : 21-002775-CZ

PROOF OF SERVICE

TO PROCESS SERVER: You are to serve the summons and complaint not later than 91 days from the date of filing or the date of expiration on the order for second summons. You must make and file your return with the court clerk. If you are unable to complete service you must return this original and all copies to the court clerk.

CERTIFICATE / AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE / NONSERVICE

	OFFICER CEI	RTIFICA	TE	OR		AFFIDAVIT OF PROCESS SERVER		
I certify that I am a court officer, or atto that: (notarizatio	uly sworn, I state that I am a legally competent am not a party or an officer of a corporate party [A]), and that: (notarization required)							
☐ I served personally a copy of the summons and complaint.								
☐ I served by registered or certified mail (copy of return receipt attached) a copy of the summons and complaint, together with								
List all documents served with the Summons and Complaint								
						on the defendant(s):		
Defendant's name			Complete address(es) of service			Day, date, time		
☐ I have personally attempted to serve the summons and complaint, together with any attachments, on the following defendant(s) and have been unable to complete service.								
Defendant's name Complete addr			Complete address	(es) of s	ervice	Day, date, time		
I declare under the	penalties of perio	ury that this	proof of service ha	ıs been e	examined by r	me and that its contents are true to the best of my		
information, knowle		ary tricat triii	, p		,	,		
Service fee \$	Miles traveled \$	Fee \$	Signature					
Incorrect address fee \$	Miles traveled	Fee \$	Total fee \$	7 7	Name (type or print)			
	ĮΨ				itle			
Subscribed and swo	orn to before me		Date	,		County, Michigan.		
My commission exp	oires:		Signature:		Donuty co	urt clerk/Notary public		
Notary public, State		inty of				• •		
Notary public, State	or wichigan, coo	ility Oi						
			ACKNOWLED	GMEN	T OF SERV	ICE		
I acknowledge that I have received service of the summons and complaint, together withAttachments								
on Day, date, time								
			on l	hehalf of	•			
Signature			on i	Jenan O	-			

Document received by the MI Wayne 3rd Circuit Court.

STATE OF MICHIGAN IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF WAYNE

CITY OF HIGHLAND PARK, a Municipal Corporation,

Plaintiff,

-CZ

V

BRIAN MENGE'

Defendant.

James W. McGinnis (P29323) JW MCGINNIS LLC. Attorney for Plaintiff 23456 Southfield Road Southfield, Michigan 48075

Phone: 313.446.9582

Email: jwmlawoffices@sbcglobal.net

Some or all of the parties involved in this action are involved in pending civil litigation arising out of the same transaction or occurrence alleged in this Complaint. The litigation is *Menge'v City of Highland Park* Case No. 21-10152 in the US District Court Eastern District of Michigan assigned to Judge Mark Goldsmith.

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF

NOW COMES Plaintiff by and through the undersigned counsel and states as follows:

- Plaintiff, City of Highland Park, is a municipal corporation organized and operating under the law of the State of Michigan.
- 2. Defendant is a resident of the City of Taylor, County of Wayne, State of Michigan.
- 3. Defendant is a police officer employed by Plaintiff at all relevant times herein.
- 4. All events which are the subject matter of this action occurred in the City of Highland Park, County of Wayne, State of Michigan.

- There is a case and controversy between the parties that the court has jurisdiction to decide. MCR 2.605.
- 6. This court has jurisdiction over the parties pursuant to MCL 600.701.
- 7. Venue is proper in this Honorable court pursuant to MCL 600.1621 and 600.1629.

General Allegations

- 8. Defendant Brian Menge initially gained employment with the City of Highland Parks as a patrolman.
- After his hiring as a patrolman, in August of 2014, Officer Menge responded to a job
 posting for the position of detective.
- 10. On August 29, 2014, he wrote a letter to the Human Resources Department expressing interest in the detective position.
- 11. After sending the letter, he was interviewed for the position.
- 12. Highland Park Chief of Police Kevin Coney indicated that Officer Menge successfully passed the examination for the job.
- 13. On October 8, 2016, Chief Coney wrote a communication to the file indicating that Officer Menge had been promoted to detective.
- 14. When he moved from patrolman to detective, Officer Menge's rate of pay (or hourly rate) did not change.
- 15. Sometime in 2020, the City of Highland Park decided to upgrade the investigative skills of officers in the police department.
- 16. To meet the Police Department's training objective, the Mayor and supervisory staff in the police department decided to rotate selected police officers into the Detective Bureau for training as detectives.

- 17. The rotations in and out of the Detective Bureau would be temporary and would apply to all police personnel.
- 18. As a first step in the re-training program, Defendant Menge was rotated out of the Detective Bureau to carry out the Police Department's re-training plan.
- 19. Corporal Fegrues was rotated into the Detective Bureau to be trained as a detective.
- 20. On September 23, 2020, Sgt. James McMahon followed up on the Mayor's orders and informed Defendant Brian Menge he would be transferred to the Patrol Division effective October 4, 2020.
- 21. On September 24, 2020, Defendant Brian Menge's union filed a grievance challenging his so-called "demotion" to patrol.
- 22. On September 28, 2020, Dwight Thomas, business agent for Teamsters Local 214, sent a letter to the Mayor requesting a Veterans Preference Act ("VPA") hearing for Defendant regarding the removal of Plaintiff from the Detective Bureau.
- 23. Defendant Menge declared that he was a veteran who could not be transferred out of his position as a detective without prior notice and a hearing.
- 24. On December 10, 2020, the City of Highland Park conducted a hearing to determine if there was a violation of the VPA.
- 25. Defendant Menge is demanding arbitration under the Collective Bargaining Agreement ("CBA") for demotion from his position of detective without just cause. (Ex. 1)
- 26. On January 22, 2021, Defendant Menge filed a lawsuit against Plaintiff in the United States
 District Court Eastern District of Michigan (Menge v City of Highland Park, Case No. 21-10152)
- 27. In the federal court action, Defendant Menge claims that Plaintiff violated his substantive and procedural due process rights under the United States Constitution and his statutory rights to due process under the VPA.

- 28. Defendant Menge claims he has a property interest in continued employment as a police detective.
- 29. Defendant Menge claims his property interest in continued employment was abridge by Plaintiff in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment, the VPA and the CBA.
- 30. By law, the Mayor has the duty to see to it that the departments in the City are run efficiently.
- 31. The Mayor of the City of Highland Park is the chief executive of the City and the supervisor of the department heads.
- 32. The Mayor has the discretion to make changes in methods and processes in City departments to fulfil his responsibilities.
- 33. The Plaintiff has the right to determine reasonable schedules of work and to establish the methods and processes by which police work is performed.
- 34. It is Plaintiff's prerogative to re-assign personnel in the police department for training purposes.

Count I - Declaratory Relief

- 35. Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations in Paragraphs 1-34 as though fully set forth herein.
- 36. There is an actual case and controversy between the parties.
- 37. Defendant Menge is litigating the same claim of procedural due process violation in different forums.
- 38. Defendant Menge is attempting to litigate the same claim in multiple forums.
- 39. Plaintiff has a right to seek the court's assistance in avoiding multiple litigation on the same claim.
- 40. Declaratory relief is necessary to guide the parties' future conduct regarding the question of procedural due process.

Document received by the MI Wayne 3rd Circuit Court.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff prays this Honorable grant declaratory and equitable relief and determine whether (1) Plaintiff violated Defendant's rights to procedural due process, (2) Defendant has a property interest in his position as a detective, and (3) Plaintiff violated the Veterans Preference Act.

/s/ James W. McGinnis [P29323]
JW MCGINNIS LLC
Attorney for Plaintiff
23456 Southfield Rd.
Southfield, Michigan 48075

Phone: 313.446.9582

Email: jwmlawoffices@sbcglobal.net